Comparison of periodontal parameters and metabolic glucose levels in patients with diabetes and healthy subjects
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Abstract

Background: For decades, it was suspected that diabetes contributed to poorer oral health and the increased frequency of periodontitis. More recently it was found that periodontitis could adversely affect glycemic control in diabetics. The aim of this study was to compare periodontal parameters with the metabolic sugar levels of diabetic and non-diabetic individuals.

Materials and Methods: In this cross-sectional study the experimental group were individuals with the diagnosis of diabetes. The control group consisted of healthy individuals without any systemic condition that affected periodontal status. Periodontal parameters, body mass index, HbA1c level and duration of diabetes were measured and recorded. Data were analyzed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient and Spearman’s correlation coefficient in a bivariate normal distribution.

Results: Patients with diabetes had worse periodontal status compared with control group. On the other hand, both patients with diabetes type 1 and 2 showed higher plaque index, bleeding index and clinical attachment loss compared with healthy control group. There was no meaningful relation between HbA1c and periodontal indices. In type 2 diabetic individuals, there was a significant correlation between the number of missing teeth and the duration of their illness.

Conclusions: Based on the evaluated parameters in this study, the experimental groups, (type 1 and 2 diabetics), experienced worse conditions than healthy control individuals with respect to their periodontal status. Deterioration of periodontal status is directly related to diabetes progression.
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Introduction

Periodontitis is one of the most ubiquitous diseases and is characterized by destruction of connective tissue and dental bone support following an inflammatory response in host secondary to infection by periodontal bacteria (1). The inflammatory response is characterized by nonregulated secretion of inflammatory mediators and destruction of host tissue (2).

Diabetes mellitus is a metabolic disorder characterized by hyperglycemia. The abnormal glucose metabolism results from defects in insulin production or insulin action, or both (2). Diabetes has major repercussions on the health status of the affected, and its prevalence is growing worldwide (3). More than 240 million people are diabetic and it is estimated that this number will increase by 2 or 3 fold by the next ten years (4). Some of oral diseases and conditions are more prevalent in the patients with diabetes such as periodontal diseases, dental cavities, xerostomia, and recurrent periapical abscess (5, 6).

Patients with diabetes are at higher risk for periodontal disease and it is now considered as the sixth complication of diabetes mellitus (7). There are evidences which show the progressive periodontal disease could disrupt blood sugar balance, but it is not known that periodontal diseases could raise blood sugar or
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not, maybe this is a common situation among patients that suffer from periodontal diseases (8). Some of the researches have claimed that pathogenesis of periodontitis in diabetes is unclear (9, 10). Many studies have addressed the effect of periodontal treatment on glycemic control in patients with diabetes. Despite discrepancy regarding this issue in the scientific literature, it seems that the effect of glycemic control is related to the mode of periodontal therapy (1).

The host defense alterations and the increased levels of proinflammatory mediators in diabetes result in increased periodontal inflammation, and also contribute to poor diabetes metabolic control, explaining in part the bidirectional relationship of these two diseases. Periodontitis is also associated with an increased risk for diabetes complications. It is possible that periodontal diseases may serve as accelerators of insulin resistance, leading to aggravation of glycemic control. There is emerging evidence that periodontal treatment improves glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes (3). Control of periodontal infection seems not only important for oral health, but may also improve the overall health of patients with diabetes mellitus (3). The modified inflammation process could be the meeting ground for chronic periodontitis, diabetes, atherosclerosis and obesity (11).

Also, obesity is common world wide and is going to be replaced with malnutrition and infectious disease as one of contributors to poor health. Obesity is defined as body mass index (BMI) of 30 kg/m² or greater (12).

There are controversies about the relation between obesity and periodontal disease. Periodontitis and obesity are among the most common chronic disorders worldwide. Some of the studies reported a positive relation between overweight and periodontal disease (13, 14) A recent review suggests that overweight, obesity, weight gain, and increased waist circumference may be risk factors for development of periodontitis or worsening of periodontal measures (15), while others do not mention any significant relation or mention no relation between obesity and periodontal disease (16, 17).

Obesity is a risk factor for diabetes and many other diseases. The body mass index is closely related to both percentage body fat and total body fat accumulated in adipocytes and there is an interrelation between blood glucose, lipoprotein, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL-C) and triglyceride levels (18).

Therefore, there is a need to control conventional risk factors for diabetes, including oral health measures and the periodontal disease and it seems to be necessary to have more researches about the relation of diabetes and periodontal disease. The aim of this study was to compare periodontal parameters with the metabolic sugar levels of diabetic and non-diabetic individuals and determine whether a correlation between severity of disease and periodontal status exists.

Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was performed to compare periodontal parameters between patients with diabetes and healthy subjects. In this study, according to other studies and statistical calculation, 56 volunteers were selected with diagnosis of either type 1 or type 2 diabetes by endocrinologist in the endocrine department of city hospital. The patients with diabetes were devided into two groups of type 1 and 2. The control group consisted of 28 healthy individuals without any systemic condition that could affect periodontal status. The inclusion criteria for the study were having at least 15 teeth, and having diabetes for at least five years. The exclusion criteria of the study were using drugs and medications that affected periodontium (tetracycline, anti-inflammatory, antiepileptic, etc.) during the last three months, having other systemic diseases or conditions that affects the periodontium (cardiovascular diseases,
education, smoking, etc.), receiving treatment for periodontal disease in the past six months, and smoking. After obtaining an informed written consent form all participants in the study, patients’ general informations were collected. In the patients with diabetes, disease informations including duration of diabetes and HbA1c values were obtained through questioning the patients and reviewing their lab tests. BMI was calculated by the weight divided to square of the height and expressed as kg/m² unit. Periodontal examinations included evaluation of plaque index (PI), bleeding points index (BPI), clinical attachment loss (CAL) and periodontal pocket depth (PPD) and were obtained using the coded Williams periodontal probe No. 14 (Delab, Treffurt, Germany).

The amount of dental plaques was measured according to the plaque index of Silness and Löe in four regions (mesiobuccal, distobuccal, midbuccal and midlingual) (19).

Because of evaluating plaque thickness in the cervical region of the teeth and ignoring the extent of coronary plaque on the tooth, the Silness and Löe PI is unique among the PIs that have been introduced. An oral mirror, dental explorer and air syringe were used to evaluate the plaque. PI for each region was obtained through the evaluation of four plaque scales for each tooth (disto-facial, facial, mesio-facial and lingual surfaces), and the plaque index for each tooth was calculated by division of total plaque scales for each tooth to four. PI in each individual was obtained by deviding total plaque index of each tooth to the number of teeth examined. The BPI by Ainamo and Bay has been designed and introduced as an easy and convenient tactic for examiners to assess a person's medical progress in controlling plaque. Presence or absence of gingival bleeding was determined by gently probing the gingival sulcus using a periodontal probe. Bleeding after 30 seconds, indicates a positive result which is expressed as a percentage of the total tested gingival margins (20).

Bleeding during probing by touching the sulcus surface or periodontal pocket was determined as the percentage of bleeding area to the total area (21). Bleeding during probing is a major factor for investigating the periodontal health status (22). Application of gingival bleeding indices is an appropriate practice, because hemorrhage is a more clear visual sign than color changes of the gingiva, also because it demonstrates signs of new plaque formation and inflammation in the area and displays attachment loss which is suitable and is used for evaluating the effects of subgingival periodontal therapy (20).

Assessment of CAL from the cemento enamel junction (CEJ) to the depth of pockets and also assessing the extent of the pocket depth was done using the Williams probe No. 14 (Delab, Treffurt, Germany) with gentle pressure from the gingival margin to the bottom of the pocket for the two quadrants of maxilla and mandible in a random matter and in the form of quadrants one and three (upper right, lower left) and two and four (upper left, bottom right). This was calculated in six points: mesio buccal, mid buccal, disto buccal, mesio lingual, mid lingual and disto lingual (23).

After collecting the required data, the data was extracted and analyzed. The information from the questionnaires were analyzed using the SPSS (version 20.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results of quantitative variables were reported as “mean ± standard deviations (SD)” and “median (third quartile, first quartile)” and qualitative variables were reported as “number (percent)”. In order to compare the mean of quantitative variables examined in the three groups, the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test and in the case of a significant ANOVA result, the least significant difference (LSD) test for multiple comparisons were used. Also, The Kruskal-Wallis H test was used to compare the median of the quantitative variables in the groups, and in the case of a significant result the non-parametric test Mann-Whitney U test with the Bonferroni correction was used for paired comparisons.
To compare qualitative variables among the three groups the chi-square test was used. To evaluate the association between periodontal parameters and quantitative factors in the diabetic patients, Pearson correlation test was used. The significance level of the test (P-value) was set at 0.05.

Results

This study consisted of two experimental group of type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes and control group of 28 healthy individuals. The type 1 diabetes group included 15 females (53.6%) and 13 (46.1%) males. The type 2 diabetes and the control group both had 19 (67.9%) females and 9 (32.1%) males. The comparison of demographic information and periodontal variables in the two diabetic groups and the control group are shown in table 1.

Table 1: The comparison of baseline characteristics and periodontal variables in experimental and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Study groups</th>
<th>Comparison of paired groups (P-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetes type 1 (n = 28)</td>
<td>Diabetes type 1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.50 ± 3.22</td>
<td>22.79 ± 4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29.20 ± 4.63</td>
<td>22.79 ± 4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of lost teeth</td>
<td>1.5 (0, 3)</td>
<td>2 (0, 8.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.5 (3, 10)</td>
<td>2 (0, 8.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPD (mm)</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.66</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI (%)</td>
<td>98.0 (92.5, 100)</td>
<td>81.4 (68.8, 95.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98.6 (93.4, 100)</td>
<td>98.6 (93.4, 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI (%)</td>
<td>44.8 ± 13.5</td>
<td>33.8 ± 15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39.04 ± 17.9</td>
<td>39.04 ± 17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.04*</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAL (mm)</td>
<td>4.24 ± 1.80</td>
<td>2.07 ± 1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.13 ± 1.67</td>
<td>4.13 ± 1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 (53.6)</td>
<td>13 (46.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(32.1)</td>
<td>(32.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrant</td>
<td>First and third</td>
<td>Second and fourth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14 (50.0)</td>
<td>14 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11 (39.3)</td>
<td>17 (60.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 (32.1)</td>
<td>19 (67.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: Body mass index; PPD: Periodontal pocket depth; PI: Plaque index; BI: Bleeding index; CAL: Clinical attachment loss

*Difference was significant

BMI and also PI was higher in the diabetic groups in comparison to the healthy population and this difference was significant (P < 0.001).

The mean number of lost teeth and the mean PPD was higher in the type 2 diabetes group when compared to the other groups (P < 0.001). The mean bleeding index was higher in the type 1 and 2 diabetes group than the healthy group (P = 0.036). The mean CAL was also higher in the patients with diabetes (P < 0.001). The relationship between the studied variables in the type 1 diabetes group is demonstrated in table 2.
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| Table 2: The relationship between the studied variables in the type 1 diabetics group |
|----------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Variable                              | Age (years) | Disease duration (years) | HbA1c (%) | BMI (kg/m²) | Number of lost teeth | PPD (mm) | PI (%) | BI (%) |
| Disease duration (years)              | r = 0.36 | P = 0.06 |             |             |                  |         |       |        |
| HbA1c (%)                             | r = 0.20 | P = 0.32 | r = 0.08 |             |                  |         |       |        |
| BMI (kg/m²)                           | r = 0.17 | P = 0.39 | r = -0.02 | r = 0.35 |                  |         |       |        |
| Number of lost teeth                  | r = 0.68 | P < 0.001 | r = 0.26 | r = 0.44 | r = 0.35 |         |       |        |
| PPD (mm)                              | r = 0.37 | P = 0.05 | r = 0.38 | r = 0.09 | r = 0.01 | r = 0.15 |       |        |
| PI (%)                                | r = -0.31 | P = 0.11 | r = -0.04 | r = -0.29 | r = -0.20 | r = -0.14 | r = 0.15 |        |
| BI (%)                                | r = -0.58 | P = 0.001 | r = -0.12 | r = -0.09 | r = -0.25 | r = -0.40 | r = -0.02 | r = 0.37 |
| Number of lost teeth                  | r = -0.08 | P = 0.70 | r = 0.13 | r = -0.30 | r = -0.30 | r = 0.28 | r = 0.13 | r = -0.02 |
| Table 3: The relationship among the variables studied in the type 2 diabetes group |
|----------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Variable                              | Age (years) | Disease duration (years) | HbA1c (%) | BMI (kg/m²) | Number of lost teeth | PPD (mm) | PI (%) | BI (%) |
| Disease duration (years)              | r = 0.29 | P = 0.13 |             |             |                  |         |       |        |
| HbA1c (%)                             | r = -0.38 | P = 0.05 | r = 0.16 |             |                  |         |       |        |
| BMI (kg/m²)                           | r = -0.08 | P = 0.69 | r = 0.04 | r = 0.20 |                  |         |       |        |
| Number of lost teeth                  | r = -0.01 | P = 0.98 | r = 0.50 | r = 0.16 | r = 0.09 |         |       |        |
| PPD (mm)                              | r = -0.06 | P = 0.76 | r = -0.21 | r = 0.28 | r = 0.11 | r = -0.08 |         |        |
| PI (%)                                | r = -0.17 | P = 0.39 | r = -0.03 | r = -0.28 | r = 0.03 | r = 0.05 | r = 0.12 |        |
| BI (%)                                | r = -0.07 | P = 0.73 | r = 0.02 | r = -0.001 | r = 0.09 | r = 0.03 | r = 0.15 | r = 0.14 |
| Number of lost teeth                  | r = 0.29 | P = 0.13 | r = -0.02 | r = -0.10 | r = -0.22 | r = 0.29 | r = 0.23 | r = 0.10 | r = -0.03 |

BMI: Body mass index; PPD: Periodontal pocket depth; PI: Plaque index; BI: Bleeding index; CAL: Clinical attachment loss

Statistical analysis showed a meaningful relationship between BMI and the BPI (P = 0.13, r = 0.23, respectively). The relationship among the variables studied in the type 2 diabetes group is provided in Table 3.
A significant relationship was found between the duration of sickness and the number of lost teeth ($P = 0.01$ and $r = 0.50$). The relationship among the variables studied in the healthy group is provided in table 4. A significant relationship was found between BMI and the number of lost teeth ($P = 0.08$ and $r = 0.34$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Number of lost teeth</th>
<th>PPD (mm)</th>
<th>PI (%)</th>
<th>BI (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$r = 0.34$</td>
<td>$P = 0.08$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of lost teeth</td>
<td>$r = 0.68$</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$P = 0.8$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPD (mm)</td>
<td>$r = 0.37$</td>
<td>$P = 0.05$</td>
<td>$r = 0.11$</td>
<td>$r = 0.15$</td>
<td>$P = 0.46$</td>
<td>$P = 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI (%)</td>
<td>$r = -0.05$</td>
<td>$P = 0.82$</td>
<td>$r = 0.23$</td>
<td>$r = 0.03$</td>
<td>$r = 0.18$</td>
<td>$P = 0.35$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI (%)</td>
<td>$r = 0.27$</td>
<td>$P = 0.17$</td>
<td>$r = 0.02$</td>
<td>$r = 0.19$</td>
<td>$r = 0.61$</td>
<td>$r = 0.13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAL (mm)</td>
<td>$r = 0.23$</td>
<td>$P = 0.23$</td>
<td>$r = 0.07$</td>
<td>$r = 0.31$</td>
<td>$r = 0.39$</td>
<td>$r = -0.09$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: Body mass index; PPD: Periodontal pocket depth; PI: Plaque index; BI: Bleeding index; CAL: Clinical attachment loss

**Discussion**

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disease characterized by an increased blood glucose level, while periodontal disease is mainly characterized by the destruction of tooth support tissues. Detailed investigation is warranted to consider these highly prevalent chronic diseases together and analyze their mutual influence. The goal of this study was to compare the periodontal parameters of patients with diabetes type 1 and 2 with healthy people. The diabetic group in comparison to the observation group was in a worse condition, in other words the diabetic group showed a higher level of plaque, bleeding during probing, number of lost teeth, PPD and CAL in comparison to the healthy group ($P < 0.05$). The average PI in patients with diabetes type 1 and 2 was more than that of the healthy group which can indicate a lower quality of oral hygiene in diabetics. Sastrowijoto et al. in 1990 concluded that the lower dental and oral quality in patients with diabetes was the reason for a worse periodontal condition (24). With regard to bleeding index, the increase of the bleeding index in patients with diabetes can be related to the fact that a high percentage of patients with diabetes were on medications, such as Metformin, that lower the blood glucose levels and have a combined anticoagulant effect. Other studies like the study by Cabala et al. in 2006, have shown a higher bleeding rate during probing in patients with diabetes in comparison to healthy patients (25).

Over the years, various biologically plausible mechanisms have been established for a common inflammatory etiopathogenesis of these diseases (2). Inflammation is the central feature of the pathogenesis of both DM and periodontal disease. As one of the principal defense mechanisms, inflammation should work smoothly to protect adequately. If it is modified or altered in any way, it might become a trigger mechanism started by a pathogenic aggression that could explain the onset of a disease (11). This elevated inflammatory state contributes to macro- and micro-vascular complications and clinical manifestations like bleeding. Diabetes is known to increase inflammation in periodontal...
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In the type 2 diabetic group there was also a meaningful relationship between the number of lost teeth and the disease duration indicating that the periodontal status worsens as the duration of the disease progresses. The average number of lost teeth in the type 2 diabetes group was higher than the healthy group which can indicate a significant relation between the number of lost teeth due to periodontal diseases in patients with diabetes type 2. Our results are consistent with the results of Ilguy’s study in 2007 (32).

Periodontitis induces endotoxemia and is responsible for increased levels of cytokines such as interleukin (IL-1β) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and leads to alteration in lipid metabolism. Periodontitis is responsible for producing cytokines which may develop insulin resistance similar to DM and which may destroy Beta cells of pancreas which leads to development of DM (18).

The average BMI in patients with diabetes type 1 and 2 was higher than the healthy group which indicates that being overweight was more common in the patient groups. On the other hand, BMI was associated with higher level of parameters, so it could be a common risk factor for both periodontal disease and diabetes. Hasegawa and Watase in 2004 concluded that life style factors have an important association with periodontal diseases (33).

Several explanations have been provided for the association between obesity and periodontal disease such as low raw fruit and non-potato vegetables intake, which are sources of vitamin C, and low calcium intake as well as higher intake of soft drinks and...
noncitrus juices (1). This is important to oral health because low dietary intake of calcium and vitamin C has been associated with periodontal disease. People who consume less than the recommended dietary allowance (RDA) of calcium and vitamin C have slightly higher rates of periodontal disease (1).

BMI is closely related to both percentage body fat and total body fat accumulated in adipocytes. Data obtained from Panwar et al. study revealed that there was an interrelation between blood glucose, lipoproteins and triglyceride levels (18). It has been found that adipokines can also contribute to susceptibility to both periodontitis and DM, and the proinflammatory properties of leptin may be especially important in the overexpression of periodontal tissue inflammation in individuals with obesity and type 2 diabetes (26, 27).

As we know, DM is a life threatening metabolic syndrome and periodontitis is an infectious disease and responsible for destruction of systemic physiology not only in patients with diabetes but also in non-diabetic population all over the world. We recommend to carry out a study by collecting oral bacterial samples from patients and examining hidden dental and gum disorders by acquiring dental graphs and also conducting prospective and retrospective studies regarding the association between periodontal diseases and diabetes to evaluate the cause and effect of this factor.

Conclusion

Based on the results gained from this study, most of the periodontal indices (plaque index, bleeding index and clinical attachment loss) were higher in patients with diabetes than healthy people which can be an indicator of an association between diabetes and periodontal diseases. In patients with diabetes type 2, there was a significant relationship between the number of lost teeth and the duration of disease which indicates that the periodontal status worsens as the duration of disease progresses. Also, we found a significant relationship between BMI index and level of HbA1c and some periodontal indices in this study.
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