Evaluation of Noise pollution in the schools of Birjand city and its administrative solutions, in 2011
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Abstract

Background: Educational centers have higher standards for controlling noise pollution as it has been shown that noise pollution is a major cause of discomfort for teachers and students. Noise pollution reduces concentration, interferes in the conversation, and leads to dropouts and lower grades especially in mathematics. The present study aimed to evaluate noise pollution in the schools of Birjand and its administrative solutions in 2011.

Materials and Methods: This study examined the level of noise pollution in the schools of Birjand city and suggested implementation to reduce noise pollution. The Casellacel model Cel-450 was used in accordance with international standards. Through exhaustive field visits and interviews with school authorities; 12 schools (4 each from primary, middle and high schools) were randomly selected in the different parts of the city.

Results: The results showed that the schools under study were badly affected by noise pollution, as these noise levels were higher than the standard levels (35 dB). The maximum noise level during class time was recorded as 72.3 dB, while the maximum noise level during recess time was recorded as 87.4 dB. Ineluctably, the schools with high student/class ratio showed a higher noise pollution level.

Conclusion: It can be concluded that, the schools in different regions of Birjand have problems in terms of noise pollution. Fortunately, all school authorities were aware of this issue and with all facilities and special arrangements tried to resolve the problem. However, the major factors responsible for the noise pollution were out of their control.
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Introduction

In the age of technology, noise pollution is recognized as the most common cause of acquired hearing loss. When the hearing is hurt, it is not treatable. Unfortunately, patients become aware of their disease when it reaches an acute stage and is irreversible (1). Many of the damages of bustle and noise pollutions are the biological stressors, irritations and damages to the nervous systems and devastating effects on the auditory system and the mind (2, 3). Most people have gradually become accustomed to their surrounding noises. This may be due to the simple reason that not only noise pollution has compelled masses to know it as an inherent part of life but also accustomed them to its discomforts. Ultimately, a hormonal disorder and consequent hearing
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loss are hallmarks of its objectives. Moreover, its after-effects are a patient’s inability to communicate effectively and efficiently with the surrounding community, thus reducing the quality of life besides mental and emotional health (4, 5).

School and classroom noise (scraping sounds from tables and chairs) hinder concentration of students at schools (6). People’s talking, singing and even their expressions of short words and crosswords hurt IQ of an individual. It as well weakens a student’s ability to write, read, understand and solve mathematical problems and learn vocabularies. So, it is an effective step to keep the place quiet and calm whenever a student is learning or doing his or her homework (7).

Bronzaft and McCarthy (1975) found significant differences in reading scores of primary school children studying in a quiet classroom as compared to those nestled in the classroom with high levels of railway noise (8). Optimal acoustics in classrooms prevents students to clearly listen to the teacher’s speech. Inevitably, in the classes devoid of carpets, and curtains but consisting wooden or metal chairs, noise remains for a longer period of time. In addition, such classes are packed with chattering voices, paper rustles and the collision of pencils with chairs thus compelling a teacher to speak very loud which is consequently inappropriate for students. Although when teachers speak loud, most of the students hear the voice clearly; but under these conditions their concentrations and abilities are hampered (9, 10).

The aim of the present study was to examine the level of noise pollution in the selected schools of Birjand city and subsequently implement efficacious strategies to reduce noise pollution, which has a significant impact on health and learning of the students.

Materials and Methods

This descriptive study evaluated the level of noise pollution in the selected schools of Birjand city in 2011. This research examined the efficiency of students in the selected primary, middle and high schools of Birjand city. In this study, the Casellacel “sound meter” model Cel-450 was used which is in accordance with international standards (11). In order to get the permission from the Department of Education of Birjand city; the necessary information was collected from primary, middle and high schools. Simultaneously, through field visits and interviews with school authorities and considering the geographical locations, the city was divided into 4 districts. Then, among 177 schools in Birjand city, 12 schools (4 each from primary, middle and high schools) were randomly selected. It should be noted that stability was achieved between sex ratios and the number of schools. The sound meter device was installed in the school buildings at their entrance halls. Then an analysis was conducted considering the level of equivalent sound pressure during class time and recess time. Leq index was used to determine the average noise levels.

Equivalent Noise Level Leq:

Equivalent noise level is the average noise level changes in a specified period of time. This time can be considered a second up to twenty-four hours but in the instruction set it is 30 minutes. Equivalent noise level is obtained from the following equation (12).

\[
Leq = 10 \log \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \frac{P^2(t)}{P_0^2} dt \right) \text{dB}
\]
Where:
T: Time measured in seconds
P (t): Sound pressure moment on the square in Newton
P0: Reference sound pressure, equal to \((2 \times 10^{-5}) \text{ N/m}^2\)

Before each measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter was ensured, and the accuracy of the measuring device was calibrated with standard tools. Since many factors can affect the accuracy of the noise level meter, the calibration of the device was ensured before each use. Calibration was performed by frequency and relative levels of 1 KHz and 114 dB respectively (12). The average noise level was measured and the data were stored in the Microsoft excel software. The descriptive analysis and regression correlation was calculated using SPSS software and 95% confidence interval was reported.

Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the schools under study. The average number of students was 327.8 ±94 while the average number of classes was 12.2±2.6. The maximum and minimum student/class ratio were 32 and 20.5 respectively, with an average of 26.6±3.4.

Analysis of the equivalent sound pressure level (L.Aeq 30 min) during class and recess time in the different school is given in Table 1. It reveals from the results that all schools under study were badly affected by noise, as these noise levels were higher when compared with the standards of the National Building Regulations standard (35 dB). These findings are similar to those reported in other cities of Iran like, Tehran, Karaj, Ilam (10,13,14).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Number of Students</th>
<th>Number of Classes</th>
<th>Student/class ratio</th>
<th>L_Aeq (30min) in during class time (dB)</th>
<th>L_Aeq (30min) in during recess time (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>64.08</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>71.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>84.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>82.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>78.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>81.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>79.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>79.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>79.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>87.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>85.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>327.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>80.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>87.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>71.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

The monitoring of the schools showed that the maximum noise level during class time was recorded in school number 3, while the maximum noise level during recess time was recorded in school number 11. The result showed that the schools with high student/class ratio had approximately high noise pollution level. It is interesting to note that school number 2 had lowest noise level during class and recess time which is far away from out-of-school noise pollution resources. In general, the noise pollution level during recess time was higher than class time (Table 1). Similarly, the higher noise level recorded in the hallways during recess time has been reported by many researchers worldwide (15, 16).

Figure 1 examined the relations between noise exposure at school and student/class ratio and it clearly showed that there is no significant relationship ($R^2=0.064$) between LAeq (30min) during class time and student/class ratio, whereas it considerably showed a more significant relationship ($R^2=0.24$) during recess time. Therefore, it can be suggested that the main sources of noise pollution in schools are out-of-school sources such as traffic pollution, commercial and residential centers besides school resources like teachers and students’ voices in addition to the voices of entertainments and sports. Acoustical properties of structural walls, windows, doors, floors, schools and their internal coverage can appreciably affect the noise pollution level (10). It is important to mention here that school floors were covered with mosaic and stone. Surprisingly,
the 20 schools in Saudi Arabia studied for noise level pollution exhibited an $L_{eq}$ between 60-89.2 dB (16). Undoubtedly, the noise levels of schools near streets and crowded urban areas were higher. It can be suggested here that the type of window and acoustical properties of structural walls, and doors in addition to school building acoustics are responsible for noise pollution (10).

As shown in Figure 2 the noise pollution level in all the schools were above the threshold limit values (65 dB) as suggested by the World Health Organization (1997) to prevent interference with speech and sound effects (17).

As far as the higher noise pollution levels in relation to threshold limits are concerned, it can be safely mentioned that the students in the selected Birjand city schools suffer from noise pollution which in turn affects their reading comprehension and concentrations in the classrooms. The reading comprehension could be referred as children’s reading ability skills, for example attention, episodic memory and working memory (18). The results from other researches demonstrated that the schools with high levels of noise pollution are generally deprived, and children from the similar high social deprivation schools depict poor performance on reading comprehension tasks, leading to potential confounding (6).

Recommendations for reducing or eliminating noise pollution in schools are explained as follows:

- Transition of schools up to the levels that are standardized in terms of noise pollution.
- Transition of commercial and industrial centers away from school.
- Utilization of acoustic noise barriers such as walls, green spaces between schools and noise pollution sources.
- Provision of the soundproof doors and insulated windows and doors for classes.
- Shutting the class doors during the teaching period.
• Separate placement of land sports, school workshops and laboratories from classrooms.
• Conducting elaborative studies in the future to locate noise pollution reduction ways in schools.

Conclusion

In the present study we can conclusively suggest that excessive noise persecutes everyone, especially school students and teachers. Generally, educational centers often have higher standards to control noise pollution but this study satisfactorily evaluated that the noise pollution is a major cause of discomfort for the teachers and students. Therefore, the present study safely concludes that the schools in different regions of Birjand have problems in terms of noise pollution. Fortunately, all school authorities were aware of this issue and with all facilities and special arrangements had tried to resolve the debilitating. Problem nevertheless, the major factor responsible for the noise pollution is out of their control.
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