

# Investigation of the results of infant hearing screening test with transient evoked otoacoustic emissions in Moradi Hospital of Rafsanjan, Iran, in 2014

Tabatabaei ST, MD<sup>1</sup>, Golshiri A, MD\*<sup>2</sup>, Sayadi AR, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Barazin A, MD<sup>2</sup>, Sadeghi Z, BSc<sup>4</sup>, Tabatabaei S, MD<sup>5</sup>

1- Assistant Prof, Dept. of Pediatrics, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran. 2- Assistant Prof, Dept. of Otorhinolaryngology, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran. 3- Assistant Prof, Dept. of Psychiatric Nursing, Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran. 4- BSc in Audiology, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran. 5- Internal Medicine Resident, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

## Abstract

Received: April 2017, Accepted: September 2017

**Background:** Congenital hearing loss delays many aspects of a child's development, including speech and socio-cognitive development. The aim of this study was determine the results of infant hearing screening with transient evoked otoacoustic emission (TEOAE) in Moradi Hospital, Rafsanjan, Iran: 2014.

**Materials and Methods:** In this descriptive cross-sectional study from 6017 infants born in Niknafs Hospital in 2014, the hearing of 2743 infants was tested by TEOAE during the first 24 hours after birth. If the result TEOAE test was negative, 3 weeks later, the hearing examination was repeated. If the result of the second examination was negative, a precise hearing examination was performed with auditory brainstem response (ABR) before 3 months of age, and hearing loss cases were identified and referred to competent centers. The obtained information was presented in the form of descriptive statistics.

**Results:** Of the 2743 infants, 2515 (91.69%) succeeded in the first stage test, 127 (4.63%) in the second stage test, and 16 (0.58%) in the third stage test (ABR test), showing a healthy hearing system. The results of examination with ABR indicated that 4 infants (0.14%) had mild to severe hearing loss who were introduced to specialized centers for cochlear implantation or using hearing aids.

**Conclusions:** Due to the high accuracy of screening tests, neonatal hearing impairment can be diagnosed and treated in the early days of life. Due to the availability of accurate tests for the examination of the hearing system and their low cost, hearing screening is recommended for infants at birth.

**Keywords:** Hearing Loss, Infant, Screening, Auditory Brainstem Response, Iran.

## Introduction

Hearing has a special role in learning, training, and communication among humans. Desirable hearing performance during the first year after birth is important in the development of language and cognitive performance of the child, as at this stage, neurodevelopment begins and progresses strongly (1).

Hearing impairment is one of the most common congenital defects (1-6 per one thousand infants) (2, 3), which is generally detected very late due to its concealment. Even

mild hearing loss can dramatically affect the cognitive, speech, and educational skills of the child and result in severe disability in him/her (4).

Diagnosis of hearing impairment in infants is difficult through common clinical methods. Severe to deep hearing loss in children with a few disabilities may be diagnosed after 30

\* **Corresponding author:** Ali Golshiri, Dept. of Otorhinolaryngology, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran.

**E-mail:** dr.golshiri@rums.ac.ir

Copyright: © 2017 The Author(s); Published by Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

months, but the diagnosis of mild to moderate hearing loss may often be postponed until pre-primary school age (5). All infants with different degrees of hearing loss must be diagnosed before the age of 3 months and receive appropriate hearing aids before 6 months of age. Children with severe to deep hearing loss are candidates for cochlear implantation in case of lack of an appropriate response to hearing aids (4).

Moreover, newborn hearing screening is currently being implemented as the most effective means of early detection of this disability in most countries of the world (6). Infant hearing screening using otoacoustic emissions (OAEs), which is a relatively new technology, is very effective in identifying suspected cases of deafness, because OAE is a highly sensitive and specific test. Up to 50% of cases of congenital hearing loss are diagnosed with selective screening of high-risk infants (7). On the other hand, transient evoked otoacoustic emission (TEOAE) is also the best method for hearing screening due to its high precision and ease of use. The sensitivity of this method has been reported between 50-100% in various studies and its specificity as 9-99% (8, 9). Regarding false cases of OAE, auditory brainstem response (ABR) test is used to identify patients with hearing neuropathy in complementary examinations (10). The ABR test is used to accurately assess the nervous system status, especially in cases like the effect of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia on the nervous system (11, 12). Hearing examination using TEOAE in infants is very useful in identifying neonatal hearing loss or deafness.

Of the 10016 infants studied by Ghasemi in Mashhad, Iran, 13 infants (0.13%) had hearing impairment, among which there were 8, 2, and 3 cases of cochlear and bilateral deep hearing loss, moderate cochlear hearing loss, and conductive hearing loss, respectively (7). The frequency of hearing loss in a study by Torkaman in one of Tehran's hospitals was 0.078% (0.78 per 1000 live births) (13). In the study of Zahedpasha, 1.4% of the hospitalized

infants had mild to deep unilateral and bilateral hearing impairment. Of these, 2.2% had severe bilateral hearing impairment needing rehabilitation (14). Furthermore, in the study by Amiri, 25 infants with hearing loss were identified among the 25073 infants under study (15). The incidence of hearing loss in a study was reported as 0.2% in Brazil (16) and 0.22 to 3.61 per 1000 live births in the United States (17). Untreated hearing loss in the neonatal period has adverse and irreparable effects on mental development, learning rate (18), social interactions (19), and quality of life (QOL) in an adult (20). Early diagnosis and treatment of this disorder will help the child better identify the environment. Until the present study was conducted in Rafsanjan, Iran, there was no coherent program and an equipped center to examine the hearing of infants. Therefore, after equipping Moradi Educational-Treatment Center with the advanced neonatal hearing screening system, the present study was conducted to determine the results of hearing screening of infants using TEOAE in Moradi Hospital of Rafsanjan in 2014.

### **Material and Methods**

This cross-sectional, descriptive study was conducted using census sampling in Niknafs Hospital in Rafsanjan in 2014. Initially, one of the researchers explained the importance of the topic for the detection of hearing loss and the importance of early treatment in the prevention of future disabilities for the parents of infants. They were assured that hearing screening is a painless and uncomplicated process. After presenting the explanation, of 6017 infants, the parents of 2743 infants (45.59%) completed the informed consent form. They were then asked to transfer their infants to the hearing aid center of Moradi Hospital within the first 24 hours after birth and before discharge. They were assured that their information would remain confidential. Ill infants, those requiring special care, and infants with external ear

canal disorders (atresia and stenosis) were excluded from the study.

Subsequently, the demographic characteristics checklist, which included items like infant's gender and birth rank, and parents' age, was completed by a researcher through interviews. Then, the primary examination and otoscopy of the infants were performed. The external ear canal was wiped of earwax and other wastes and the hearing test was performed with the TEOAE device (AccuScreen, MADSEN, Denmark). In the TEOAE method, a small probe is inserted into the baby's ear canal and in order to scan hearing, the device automatically emits a sound into the baby's ear and processes its reflection. In the case of a healthy sound transmission pathway and the absence of any infection in the middle ear as well as the health of the hearing cochlear system, the TEOAE test result will be PASS. In case of any problem in any of the above sections, the FAIL result is reported (21).

During the test, no pain or unpleasant feeling was created for the baby, and if the baby was sleeping, the test for each ear lasted a maximum of 2 minutes. If the test failed, the baby was be reassessed within the next 3 weeks. If the test failed again, the child's auditory nervous system was evaluated before 3 months of age through ABR testing using the ABR device (Vivosonic Inc., Germany). In the

ABR test, the hearing nerve pathways are carefully examined from the cochlea to the brain stem. This test is also simple, uncomplicated, and fast (about 15 minutes for both ears). If an infant did not succeed in the ABR test, he/she was considered as hearing impaired or deaf (13) and referred to specialized centers. Finally, the data were analyzed in SPSS software (version 16, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) in the form of descriptive statistics including frequency, mean, and standard deviation. Inferential statistical tests were not performed due to the low number of hearing impaired infants (4 infants).

## Results

The mean and standard deviation of the mothers' and fathers' age was  $27.28 \pm 5.10$  and  $31.46 \pm 5.50$  years, respectively, and the level of education of 1394 (50.8%) of the mothers and 1131 (41.2%) of the fathers was diploma. A history of some diseases was investigated among the mothers; 325 (11.8%) and 141 (5.1%) had a history of diabetes and hypertension, respectively. The delivery method of 1434 (52.53%) of infants was natural (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Demographic indicators of parents of infants born in Niknafs Hospital in Rafsanjan, Iran, in 2014

| Variable                 |                 | Statistical indicator |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
|                          |                 | Mean $\pm$ SD         |
| Age of mother (year)     |                 | 27.28 $\pm$ 5.10      |
| Age of father (year)     |                 | 31.46 $\pm$ 5.50      |
| Variable                 |                 | N (%)                 |
| Mother's education       | Under-diploma   | 773 (28.2)            |
|                          | Diploma         | 1394 (50.8)           |
|                          | Academic degree | 576 (21)              |
| Father's education       | Under-diploma   | 1105 (40.3)           |
|                          | Diploma         | 1131 (41.2)           |
|                          | Academic degree | 507 (18.5)            |
| Mother's disease history | No history      | 2259 (82.4)           |
|                          | Diabetes        | 325 (11.8)            |
|                          | Hypertension    | 141 (5.1)             |
|                          | Other diseases  | 65 (0.7)              |
| Natural births           | Natural         | 1434 (53.52)          |
|                          | Cesarean        | 1309 (46.48)          |

Of the 2743 infants under study, 1415 (51.6%) were boys and 1328 (48.4%) were girls. Moreover, 1341 infants (33.54%) were the first child, 920 infants (33.54%) were the second child, 337 infants (12.28%) were the third child, and 145 infants (5.29%) were the fourth to fourteenth children.

In total, 2515 infants (91.69%) successfully passed the first hearing test and 228 infants (8.31%) failed at this stage and were referred to the second stage. Of these, 147 infants (5.36%) were referred for the second stage of hearing test and 81 infants (2.95%) did not refer even with follow up. Of the 147 infants

who underwent hearing examination in the second stage, 127 (4.63%) infants passed and 20 (0.73%) entered the third stage, namely the more accurate examination of the hearing system with ABR. All 20 infants who did not pass the second stage referred for ABR at the age of about 3 months. In the ABR test conducted, 16 infants (0.58%) were found to be healthy and 4 infants (0.14%) were found to have mild to severe (unilateral or bilateral) hearing loss (Table 2). These 4 infants were referred to special centers for therapies like cochlear implantation and prescription of hearing aids.

**Table 2:** Results of hearing screening of infants born in Niknafs Hospital in Rafsanjan, Iran, in 2014

| Total number of infants screened |                           | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------|
|                                  |                           | 2743      | 100        |
| First stage<br>(TEOAE)           | Pass                      | 2515      | 91.96      |
|                                  | Fail                      | 228       | 8.31       |
| Second stage<br>(TEOAE)          | Pass                      | 127       | 4.63       |
|                                  | Fail                      | 20        | 0.73       |
| Third stage<br>(ABR)             | Pass                      | 16        | 0.58       |
|                                  | Fail (Hearing impairment) | 4         | 0.14       |
| Infants not referred             |                           | 81        | 2.95       |

TEOAE: transient evoked otoacoustic emissions; ABR: auditory brainstem response

## Discussion

In the present study, of the 6017 infants born in 2014, parents of 2743 (45.59%) infants were satisfied with participating in the study. This rate was 86% in Mashhad (7), 62.9% in Michigan, USA (22), and under 35% in Austria (23). According to the Early Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI) program established by the Joint Committee on Infant Hearing (JCIH), a suitable screening program for infants is the one that screens 95% of infants after discharge or within a month of birth and makes a comprehensive attempt to increase this rate to 100% at 6 months from the birth (hospital or maternity ward) (24). It is worth mentioning that infant hearing screening is also carried out by the Welfare Organization in the city of Rafsanjan, and no statistics were available for the authors on the number of infants screened in this organization.

The rate of hearing loss was 0.14% in this study. This rate was 0.7% in Hamadan (25), 0.29% (26), 0.1% (27), and 0.14% (10) in Tehran, 0.12% in Mashhad (7), 0.36% in Dongguan, China (28), 0.11% in Østfold County, Norway (29), and 0.16% in Kerala region of India (30). Differences in the incidence of hearing loss in infants can be due to different reasons, including geographical (31) and genetic differences (18, 32).

The test pass rate was 91.69% in this study. This means that 91.96% of infants successfully passed the first stage of screening. This rate was 96% in the study by Ghasemi (7). According to the JCIH statement, the referral rate for infants' hearing examinations in the screening process must be 4% or less (24). One of the reasons for this issue in the present study was screening of infants' hearing during the first 24 hours after birth. The presence of

debris in the external auditory canal and the presence of fluid in the middle ear impair the hearing of the infant and reduce the pass rate in the first stage of screening. Furthermore, Walsh in 2015 showed that there is a significant difference in the pass rate of infants with an age of less than 24 hours and 24 hours or more (33). The test pass rate was 77.5% in the study of Okhakhu (1) and 91% and 98% in the study by Habib in Saudi Arabia in the first stage and in the second stage, respectively (34). A rate of 99.3% was achieved in the second phase in the present study.

In terms of follow-up in this study, of the 228 infants who did not pass the test in the first stage, 147 (64.47%) referred, which was less than some studies (7) and the minimum of referral cases in the EHDI program. This could be due to the lack of information about the address of the place of residence or change of address. Children lost in this stage are a big problem as they can include half of the cases of hearing loss. Therefore, an accurate follow-up system is necessary in this regard. However, the normal outcome of screening at birth does not signify complete health and hearing screening is necessary in the following years to detect late-onset hearing loss (35). A study showed that, of every 56 infants, an infant may suffer from late-onset hearing loss. The audiometry of these children in the first year of life shows severe hearing impairment (26).

In infant hearing screening process, early and extensive intervention and treatment is of great importance because of the profound effects on the cognitive development of individuals (36). The results of a study by Down, which examined 109 deaf children, showed that infants who were subject to hearing impairment treatments before the age of 6 months had a higher and significant development rate in speech and language tests compared to those who were diagnosed and treated after 6 months of age (37). In the present study, 4 infants with hearing impairment were introduced to the specialized centers, and in subsequent follow-ups, it was

found that all of them were treated. The last point is that, according to studies, sensory-neural hearing loss in infants admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) is 5 times that of healthy infants (38-40).

In the present study, 45.59% of the total number of infants born within one year were subject to hearing screening in our center. The authors were not informed of the statistics of referral and the number of hearing impairments in other centers like the Welfare Organization. Furthermore, since only healthy infants were screened in the present study, the rate of hearing loss seems to be higher than that obtained in this study. For this reason, all infants admitted to the NICU should be screened for hearing loss. Finally, out of the 228 infants who failed in the first stage of screening, 81 did not refer to the second screening for various reasons. This also affects the rate of hearing impairment. Therefore, it is recommended that such studies be carried out in collaboration with senior and multi-organizational managers and families be obligated to take part in complementary follow-ups and referral in order to better obtain statistics on such health problems.

## **Conclusion**

Infant hearing loss is one of the most common birth disabilities, and due to the high accuracy of screening tests, it can be diagnosed and treated in the early days of the infant's life. Therefore, it is necessary to perform this as a national and enforceable issue in order to prevent future disabilities.

## **Acknowledgments**

We would like to acknowledge and thank all parents who collaborated with the researchers at various stages. In addition, we appreciate the health ward of Niknafs Hospital and the deputy research of Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences.

**Conflict of interest:** None declared



## References

- Okhakhu AL, Ibekwe TS, Sadoh AS, Ogisi FO. Neonatal hearing screening in Benin City. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2010; 74(11):1323-6.
- Olusanya BO, Newton VE. Global burden of childhood hearing impairment and disease control priorities for developing countries. *Lancet* 2007; 369(9569):1314-7.
- Olusanya BO, Wirz SL, Luxon LM. Community-based infant hearing screening for early detection of permanent hearing loss in Lagos, Nigeria: a cross-sectional study. *Bull World Health Organ* 2008; 86(12):956-63.
- Berg AL, Papri H, Ferdous S, Khan NZ, Durkin MS. Screening methods for childhood hearing impairment in rural Bangladesh. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2006; 70(1):107-14.
- Joint Committee on Infant Hearing; American Academy of Audiology; American Academy of Pediatrics; American Speech-Language-Hearing Association; Directors of Speech and Hearing Programs in State Health and Welfare Agencies. Year 2000 position statement: principles and guidelines for early hearing detection and intervention programs. Joint Committee on Infant Hearing, American Academy of Audiology, American Academy of Pediatrics, American Speech-Language-Hearing Association, and Directors of Speech and Hearing Programs in State Health and Welfare Agencies. *Pediatrics* 2000; 106(4):798-817.
- Ghirri P, Liumbruno A, Lunardi S, Forli F, Boldrini A, Baggiani A, et al. Universal neonatal audiological screening: experience of the University Hospital of Pisa. *Ital J Pediatr* 2011; 37:16.
- Ghasemi MM, Zamanian A, Tale MR, Raufsaeb AA, Farahani M, Mahmoudian S. Neonatal hearing screening with TEOAE in Mashhad city. *Iranian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology* 2006; 18(1):21-21.
- Yousefi J, Ajalloueyan M, Amirjalali S, Hassanali Fard M. The specificity and sensitivity of transient otoacoustic emission in neonatal hearing screening compared with diagnostic test of auditory brain stem response in tehran hospitals. *Iran J Pediatr* 2013; 23(2):199-204.
- Iwanicka-Pronicka K, Radziszewska-Konopka M, Wybranowska A, Churawski L. Analysis of specificity and sensitivity of polish "Universal Newborn Hearing Screening Program". *Otolaryngol Pol* 2008; 62(1):88-95.
- Farhadi M, Mahmoodian S, Mohammad K, Daneshi A. The pilot study of a nationwide neonatal hearing screening in Iran: Akbarabadi and Mirzakouchak- Khan hospitals in Tehran (June 2003-October 2004). *Hakim Health Systems Research Journal* 2006; 9(3):65-75.
- Peyvandi AA, Eftekharian A, Goljanian A, Alani N. The relationship between severe hyperbilirubinemia and abnormal auditory brainstem response in children. *International Journal of Pediatrics* 2014; 2(3.3):5-10.
- Salehi N, Bagheri F, Ramezani Farkhani H. Effects of hyperbilirubinemia on auditory brainstem response of neonates treated with phototherapy. *Iran J Otorhinolaryngol* 2016; 28(84):23-9.
- Torkaman M, Amirjalali S, Ghasemi Firouzabadi M, Afsharpayman Sh, Kavehmanesh Z, Beiraghdar F, et al. Evaluation of universal newborn hearing screening with transient-evoked otoacoustic emission and auditory brainstem response: a cross-sectional study with the literature review. *Journal of Isfahan Medical School* 2012; 30(201):1209-17.
- Zahedpasha Y, Ahmadpour M, Mehdipour S, Baleghi M. Hearing screening in neonatal division (levels II and III) in Amirkola children hospital. *Journal of Babol University of Medical Sciences* 2011; 13(1):58-63.
- Amiri M, Ghoochani Z, Haghighizadeh MH, Nilehchi Z. Neonatal hearing screening program in Ahvaz, southern Iran. *Bimonthly Audiology* 2014; 22(4):69-77.
- Oliveira JS, Rodrigues LB, Aurelio FS, Silva VB. Risk factors and prevalence of newborn hearing loss in a private health care system of Porto Velho, Northern Brazil. *Rev Paul Pediatr* 2013; 31(3):299-305.
- Mehra S, Eavey RD, Keamy DG Jr. The epidemiology of hearing impairment in the United States: newborns, children, and adolescents. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2009; 140(4):461-72.
- Lang-Roth R. Hearing impairment and language delay in infants: diagnostics and genetics. *GMS Curr Top Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2014; 13:Doc05.
- Young A, Tattersall H. Universal newborn hearing screening and early identification of deafness: parents' responses to knowing early and their expectations of child communication development. *The Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education* 2007; 12(2):209-20.
- Pimperton H, Blythe H, Kreppner J, Mahon M, Peacock JL, Stevenson J, et al. The impact of universal newborn hearing screening on long-term literacy outcomes: a prospective cohort study. *Arch Dis Child* 2016; 101(1):9-15.

21. Farahani F, Hamidi Nahrani M, Seifrabiei MA, Emadi M. The effect of mode of delivery and hospital type on newborn hearing screening results using otoacoustic emissions: based on screening age. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2017; 69(1):1-5.
22. Pynnonen MA, Handelsman JA, King EF, Singer DC, Davis MW, Lesperance MM. Parent perception of newborn hearing screening: results of a US national survey. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2016; 142(6):538-43.
23. Weichbold V, Nekahm-Heis D, Welzl-Mueller K. Ten-year outcome of newborn hearing screening in Austria. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2006; 70(2):235-40.
24. American Academy of Pediatrics, Joint Committee on Infant Hearing. Year 2007 position statement: Principles and guidelines for early hearing detection and intervention programs. *Pediatrics* 2007; 120(4):898-921.
25. Farahani F, Hamidi Nahrani M, Seif-Rabiee MA, Moradi Sh. Effect of mode of delivery and screening age on result of newborn hearing screening Test using otoacoustic emissions. *Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences* 2016; 25(132):147-54.
26. Mohammadi S. Frequency of hearing loss in newborn neonatals in Javaheri and Madaran hospitals and its relation with basic reisk factors. [MD thesis]. Tehran: Islamic Azad University, Tehran Medical Sciences Branch; 2011.
27. Lotfi Y, Movallali G. A universal newborn hearing screening in Iran. *Iranian Rehabilitation Journal* 2007; 5(1):8-11.
28. Peng Q, Huang S, Liang Y, Ma K, Li S, Yang L, et al. Concurrent genetic and standard screening for hearing impairment in 9317 southern Chinese newborns. *Genet Test Mol Biomarkers* 2016; 20(10):603-8.
29. Nelson S, Andersen R, Anderssen SH. Hearing loss in children in Østfold county 2000-09. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* 2015; 135(2):132-6.
30. Paul AK. Centralized newborn hearing screening in ernakulam, kerala , experience over a decade. *Indian Pediatr* 2016; 53(1):15-7.
31. Gouri ZUH, Sharma D, Berwal PK, Pandita A, Pawar S. Hearing impairment and its risk factors by newborn screening in north-western India. *Matern Health Neonatol Perinatol* 2015; 1:17.
32. Najmabadi H, Kahrizi K. Genetics of non-syndromic hearing loss in the Middle East. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2014; 78(12):2026-36.
33. Walsh M, Redshaw E, Crossley E, Phillips C. Identifying the optimal age to perform newborn screening for hearing loss in Uganda. *Ann Med Health Sci Res* 2015; 5(6):403-8.
34. Habib HS, Abdelgaffar H. Neonatal hearing screening with transient evoked otoacoustic emissions in Western Saudi Arabia. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2005; 69(6):839-42.
35. Lu J, Huang Z, Yang T, Li Y, Mei L, Xiang M, et al. Screening for delayed-onset hearing loss in preschool children who previously passed the newborn hearing screening. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2011; 75(8):1045-9.
36. Paludetti G, Conti G, Di Nardo W, De Corso E, Rolesi R, Picciotti PM, et al. Infant hearing loss: from diagnosis to therapy Official Report of XXI Conference of Italian Society of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital* 2012; 32(6):347-70.
37. Downs MP, Yoshinaga-Itano C. The efficacy of early identification and intervention for children with hearing impairment. *Pediatr Clin North Am* 1999; 46(1):79-87.
38. Farhat A, Ghasemi MM, Akhondian J, Mohammadzadeh A, Esmaeili H, Amiri R, et al. Comparative study of hearingi impairment among healthy and intensive care unit neonates in Mashhad, North East Iran. *Iran J Otorhinolaryngol* 2015; 27(81):273-7.
39. Qi B, En H, Huang L. The review of newborn hearing screening program in neonatal intensive care unit. *Lin Chung Er Bi Yan Hou Tou Jing Wai Ke Za Zhi* 2015; 29(23):2103-6.
40. Li PC, Chen WI, Huang CM, Liu CJ, Chang HW, Lin HC. Comparison of newborn hearing screening in well-baby nursery and NICU: a study applied to reduce referral rate in NICU. *PLoS One* 2016; 11(3):e0152028.