



Association between Personality Traits, Work-Family Conflict, Job Stress and Nurses' Cognitive Failures: A Cross-Sectional Study

Siavash Etemadinezhad¹, Hajiomid Kalteh^{2*}, Tayebe Rahimi Pordanjani³, Jamshid Yazdani Cherati⁴, Azimeh Kalteh⁵, Aghigh Salarian⁶, Hamidreza Mokarami⁷, Aref Shahi⁸

1. Associated Prof., Health Sciences Research Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran.
2. Assistant Prof., Dept. of Occupational Health, Faculty of Public Health, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran.
3. Associated Prof., Dept. of Psychology, Faculty of Humanities, University of Bojnord, Bojnord, Iran.
4. Professor, Dept. of Biostatistics, Faculty of Public Health, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran.
5. M.Sc. in Occupational health and Safety, Dept. of Occupational Health, Faculty of Public Health, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran.
6. M.Sc. in Occupational Health and Safety, Dept. of Occupational Health, Faculty of Public Health, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran.
7. Associated Prof., Dept. of Ergonomics, School of Health, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.
8. M.Sc. in Chemical Engineering, Dept. of Health Safety Environment, Petroleum University of Technology, Abadan, Iran.



Citation: Etemadinezhad S, Kalteh H, Rahimi Pordanjani T, Yazdani Cherati J, Kalteh A, Salarian A, Mokarami H, Shahi. Association between Personality Traits, Work-Family Conflict, Job Stress and Nurses' Cognitive Failures: A Cross-Sectional Study. *J Occup Health Epidemiol.* 2024;13(1):17-24.

Copyright: © 2024 The Author(s); Published by Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Article Info

* **Corresponding author:**
Hajiomid Kalteh,
E-mail:
h.omid@mazums.ac.ir

Article history

Received: Dec 2022
Accepted: Dec 2023

10.61186/johe.13.1.17

Print ISSN: 2251-8096
Online ISSN: 2252-0902

Peer review under responsibility of
Journal of Occupational Health
and Epidemiology

Abstract

Background: Cognitive failures are one of the most important causes of patients' unsafe events. Hence, it is necessary to consider individual and cognitive, as well as extra-organizational characteristics. The present study was conducted to investigate the association between personality traits, job stress, work-family conflict and nurses' cognitive failures.

Materials and Methods: This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among 250 nurses working in three public hospitals in Sari city, Iran, in 2021. The subjects were selected using Stratified Random Sampling. NEO Five-Factor Inventory, Health and Safety Executive (HSE) tool, Work-Family Conflict Scale (WFCS), and Occupational Cognitive Failure Questionnaire (OCFQ) were used to measure personality traits, job stress, work-family conflict, and cognitive Failures, respectively. The data were analyzed in SPSS (ve. 23) and regression analysis with the sequential method was applied.

Results: Among 13 studied predictor variables, only extraversion, agreeableness, demand, and role were predictors of cognitive failures. These variables explain 44.1% of the variance of cognitive failure. The β values of neuroticism and conscientiousness to predict cognitive failures were 0.34 and -0.29, respectively ($P < 0.001$). Although role ($\beta = 0.15$, $p < 0.001$) and demand ($\beta = 0.14$, $p < 0.001$) significantly predict cognitive failure; however, their effect is limited. The results of the study did not provide confirmation for the moderating effect of neuroticism, extraversion, agreeableness, and conscientiousness on the association between work-family conflict, job stress, and cognitive failures.

Conclusions: Along with environmental and organizational stress factors, evaluating nurses' personality traits is necessary to control cognitive failures.

Keywords: Nurses, Work-Family Conflict, Job Stress, Cognitive, Personality

Introduction

Nurses, as the largest occupational group in the hospitals are always exposed to various stressors in the

workplace [1], such that a study in the UK found nurses have the second most stressful job [2]. Job stress in the healthcare sectors relates to the multiple intrinsic

characteristics of the work environment, such as high mental workload, and high physical effort along with phenomena, such as observing the suffering and death of patients, stressful associations with colleagues, and insufficient supervisors support [3, 4]. The well-being of nurses, encompassing psychological, physical, behavioral, and cognitive aspects, can be adversely affected by these stressors, culminating in substandard health outcomes [5]. Cognitive failures (CFs) experienced by nurses are critical and indispensable for the protection of patients [6]. Cognitive failures is defined as errors in the mental criteria; for instance, memory, perception, decision-making, attention [7, 8]. Cognitive failures in daily tasks of nurses involving examining patients' vital signs, prescribing medications, and caring for possible side effects, as well as reporting to physicians have a high sensitivity [6, 9].

The association between job stress and cognitive function, and its effect on human behavior was studied in several studies [10-12]. Park and Kim reported that a lack of job autonomy and job instability have a significant effect on reducing cognitive functions, such as memory and attention [6]. Souza-Talarico et al. in a longitudinal study indicated that job stress could be associated with low cognitive performance [10].

From a psychological perspective, job stress occupies the brain's memory capacity, and reduces people's focus on their tasks, thereby increasing the likelihood of unsafe events [12]. Stress can make it difficult to interpret responses to emotional events [11]. In the scientific literature, these defects are referred to as cognitive failures, which result from errors in mental functions. Cognitive function is diminished by the high levels of occupational stress, although it can be difficult to identify the main stressors and exposure times. Memory-related cognitive impairment caused by acute and short-term stress is reversible, whereas the effect of chronic stress is irreversible [13]. Although job stressors are significant, the role of non-work stress should not be ignored. Numerous studies examined the destructive effects of work-family conflict as an important side stressor in the study of job stress [14-16]. Work-family conflict refers to a situation in which an imbalance among work and family needs causes psychological stress on individuals [17]. Role theory is used to describe the work-family conflict. This theory states that increased work-family conflict is accompanied by the excessive pressures on the individual that reduce individual resources [18, 19]. The work-family association imposes an additional workload on individuals, which results in increased pressure on employees' productivity. This implies that focusing exclusively on occupational stressors is insufficient; additional stressors external to the organization must also be taken into account. A synergistic association exists between work-family conflict and job stress in this context, highlighting the cognitive failings that

result from job stress [20]. In fact, there is a balance between job needs and individual capacities. Work-family conflict alone can be considered a factor affecting cognitive performance, which indicates a direct impact on cognitive failures [21].

The impact of individual characteristics - known as personality - on stress and coping strategies were studied in several studies [22, 23]. Personality expresses the relatively stable and continuous state of each person based on feelings, thoughts, and behaviors. Five-Factor-Model is a widely used approach to classify different personality types [24]. Neuroticism (N), extraversion (E), openness to experience (O), agreeableness (A), and conscientiousness (C) are the personality traits that are utilized by this model to categorize each individual. Elevated vulnerability is associated with neuroticism, which is the propensity to perceive psychological emotions. The disposition to be overly effusive, extroverted, and gregarious is reflected in extraversion. Openness indicates the individual's willingness to be creative and curious. Agreeableness reflects the tendency to be trustful, merciful, and accommodating. Conscientiousness shows the willingness to responsibility and discipline [25, 26]. In general, the coping strategies were more targeted at people with E and N characteristics; For example, the results showed that people in the group N took inappropriate approaches to improve stressors, such as ignoring or avoiding [27-30].

Despite the fact that our understanding acknowledges numerous studies studying the effect of personality traits on job stress, there was no examination of the impact of job stress, work-family conflict, and personality traits on nurses' cognitive failures within an integrated model. The purpose of this research is to determine whether work-family conflict, occupational stress, and personality traits can predict cognitive failures among Iranian nurses.

Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted from June to November 2021 in Sari city, Iran. Participants included 250 nurses, selected using stratified random sampling from three public hospitals: Imam Khomeini (with 491 nurses), Fatemeh Zahra (294 nurses), and Bou Ali (182 nurses). Statistical analysis was conducted on 208 questionnaires subsequent to the exclusion of the incomplete questionnaires. Operating in various departments, the nurses possessed a minimum of one year of professional experience. Due to the synchronization of the data collection phase with the Covid-19 pandemic, the authors did not have access to the participants' identities when they dispatched online questionnaires. Nurses with a permanent employment, shift-work schedule, and at least one year of work experience were included in the study. Furthermore,

participants were excluded from the study if any mental problems were confirmed or if they were consuming psychiatric drugs.

Health and safety executive (HSE): Tool was used to evaluate job-related stressors. HSE introduced this questionnaire as a set of management standards. This questionnaire contains 35 items which are classified into 7 dimensions including demands, control, supervisor support, peer support, associations, role, and changes [31]. The scoring system of tools was a five-option Likert scale (never, seldom, sometimes, often, or always). The reliability and validity of Persian version were approved by Azad Marzabadi and Gholami Fesharaki ($r = 0.22-0.92$, Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.65-0.78$) [32].

Work-Family Conflict Scale (WFCS): The questionnaire, designed by Carlson et al. in 2000, is well recognized as a prominent tool for examining the dynamics between the job and home for workers [33, 34]. This questionnaire consists of 18 items, and six dimensions consist of time-based work interference with family, time-based family interference with work, strain-based work interference with family, strain-based family interference with work, behavior-based work interference with family, and behavior-based family interference with work (Internal consistency, $\alpha > .80$) [35]. The questionnaire elicited answers ranging from 1 (indicating complete disagreement) to 5 (indicating complete agreement). The Persian version of the instrument was validated by Mozafari et al. [36]. The component loadings obtained from confirmatory factor analysis varied from 0.52 to 0.92 ($p < 0.001$). The values of the internal consistency (Cronbach's α) ranged from 0.78 to 0.83.

NEO Five-Factor Inventory (Neo-FFI): This instrument was developed by McCrae and Costa (1985) to determine the types of personality traits (neuroticism, extraversion, openness, agreeableness, and conscientiousness) [37, 38]. This questionnaire has 60 items and the scoring system a Likert system from 1 = strongly agree to 5 = strongly disagree. The reliability and validity of Persian version were verified by Akbari-Roshan Chesli et al.[39]. The internal consistency coefficients exhibited a range of values between 0.68 and 0.85, while the Cronbach's alpha was computed to

fall within the range of 0.61 to 0.82. Furthermore, the concurrent validity of the Symptom Checklist-90-Revised and NEO-FFI demonstrated a noteworthy connection ($r = -0.29-0.63$, $p < 0.001$).

Occupational cognitive failure questionnaire (OCFQ): This questionnaire was developed by Hassanzadeh Rangi et al. – introduced to the Iranian population in 2012. This 30-item and single-dimension tool assesses cognitive failures related to perception, memory, and attention in the workplace. The Content Validity Index (CVI), and the internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) of the Persian versions were 0.7 and 0.96, respectively [40]. A simple scoring method was used to range the responses (1 = always to 5 = never).

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the characteristics of participants and the study variables, for instance age, job tenure, gender, marriage status, and educational level. Three types of statistical tests were used to examine the association among the study variables: (1) Pearson correlation test was used to examine the association between job stress, personality traits, work-family conflict, and cognitive failures; (2) Multivariate regression analysis with the stepwise method and hierarchical regression analysis (modulator regression analysis) were used to examine effects of personality traits, work-family conflict, and job stress on cognitive failures. After examining the multicollinearity of the variables, the equal variance of the residuals, and the normal distribution of the dependent variable, a multivariate regression test was used. (3) Hierarchical regression analysis (modulator regression analysis) was used to study the moderating effects of personality traits on the association between work-family conflict and job stress with cognitive failures. All statistical tests were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The significance level was set at the conventional $p < 0.05$.

Results

The nurses involved varied in age between 22 and 54 years (mean= 34.6, SD=8.0) and job tenure ranging from 1 to 35 years (mean= 9.6, SD=7.1). The other descriptive analysis is reported in table 1.

Table 1. Demographic and job-related characteristics of the study participants

Characteristics	n	%
Age	≤ 30	35.1
	30-35	25.0
	36-40	13.9
	41	26.0
Job tenure	≤ 5	39.4
	6-10	21.2
	11-15	20.2
	16 ≤	19.2
Gender	Male	28.8
	Female	71.2

Marriage status	Single	59	28.4
	Married	149	71.6
Education level	B.Sc.	168	80.8
	M.Sc.	28	13.4
	Ph.D.	12	5.8

Table 2 displays the bivariate correlations between the research variables. The results showed that cognitive failures were substantially linked ($p < 0.01$) with all factors, including work-family conflicts, personality

(excluding openness to experiences), and job stress. The strongest relation was among cognitive failures with neuroticism ($r = 0.54$, $p < 0.05$), and conscientiousness ($r = -0.53$, $p < 0.05$).

Table 2. Correlation coefficients between the study variables

Variables	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Job stress	2.57	0.44	-							
Work-family conflict	3.28	0.58	0.49**	-						
Neuroticism	2.17	0.57	0.33**	0.52**	-					
Extraversion	1.63	0.49	-0.40**	-0.42**	-0.60**	-				
Openness to experiences	1.93	0.35	-0.03	-0.08	-0.08	-0.07	-			
Agreeableness	1.48	0.42	-0.31**	-0.43**	-0.51**	0.50**	0.004	-		
Conscientiousness	1.12	0.52	-0.41**	-0.39**	-0.45**	0.55**	0.02	0.47**	-	
Cognitive failures	2.3	0.48	0.43**	0.42**	0.54**	-0.42**	-0.008	-0.43**	-0.53**	-

** Correlations are significant at the 0.01 level.

Table 3 shows the results of regression analysis to predict cognitive e failures based on job stress (demands, control, supervisor support, peer support, associations, role, and changes), work-family conflict, and personality traits (neuroticism, extraversion, openness to experience, agreeableness, and conscientiousness). The results indicated that among the 13 predictor factors examined, only four variables, namely neuroticism, conscientiousness, demand, and role, met the criteria for inclusion in the regression model. The aforementioned factors account for 44.1% of the variability seen in cognitive failures. F-ratio was equal to 39.98 which was significant at $p < 0.0001$, and the regression model had a good fit with the predictor

variables. The value of β for the variable of neuroticism indicates that if other conditions are constant, an increase of one unit in this variable will lead to an increase of 0.34 units in the variable of cognitive failures; also, an increase of one unit in the conscientiousness leads to decrease of 0.28 units in the cognitive failures. The coefficient of determination (β) for the demand variable suggests that, holding all other factors equal, a one-unit increase in this variable is associated with a 0.15-unit rise in cognitive failures. Besides, the value of β for role-induced stress indicates that if other conditions are constant, an increase of one unit in this variable will increase to 0.14 units in the variable of cognitive failures.

Table 3. Regression analysis of variables predicting cognitive failures between cognitive failures and personality traits

Dependent variable	Predictor variable	R ²	F	β	t	P-value
Cognitive failures	Neuroticism	0.297	87.02	0.545	9.32	<0.001
	Neuroticism	0.401	68.47	0.382	6.30	<0.001
	Conscientiousness			-0.361	-5.95	<0.001
	Neuroticism	0.426	50.39	0.361	6.03	<0.001
	Conscientiousness			-0.347	-5.81	<0.001
	Demand			0.161	2.99	0.003
	Neuroticism	0.441	39.98	0.344	5.75	<0.001
	Conscientiousness			-0.288	-4.48	<0.001
	Demand			0.154	2.87	0.004
	Role			0.141	2.33	0.021

The results of hierarchical regression analysis are presented in Table 4. The moderation impact of neuroticism, extraversion, agreeableness, and conscientiousness on the link between work-family conflict, job stress, and cognitive failures was evaluated

after the computation of interaction factors. As can be seen, the moderating role of different types of personality in the association between work-family conflict and job stress was not confirmed.

Table 4. Analysis of moderating role of personality traits using hierarchical regression

Effect/variable	R ²	ΔR ²	P-value	Result
Interaction effect (work-family conflict × neuroticism) on cognitive failures	0.569	0.0001	0.862	Reject
Interaction effect (work-family conflict × extraversion) on cognitive failures	0.503	0.002	0.468	Reject
Interaction effect (work-family conflict × agreeableness) on cognitive failures	0.506	0.0001	0.849	Reject
Interaction effect (work-family conflict × conscientiousness) on cognitive failures	0.581	0.002	0.487	Reject
Interaction effect (job stress × neuroticism) on cognitive failures	0.604	0.0001	0.829	Reject
Interaction effect (job stress × extraversion) on cognitive failures	0.506	0.0001	0.905	Reject
Interaction effect (job stress × agreeableness) on cognitive failures	0.530	0.001	0.658	Reject
Interaction effect (job stress × conscientiousness) on cognitive failures	0.577	0.002	0.441	Reject

Discussion

This study aimed to investigate the moderating role of personality traits among work-family conflict, job stress, and cognitive failures among nurses. The results of our research indicate a notable correlation between the variables under investigation. However, we did not discover evidence to support the notion that personality characteristics have a moderating function. The results indicated that personality traits significantly associated with the study variables are significantly correlated except openness to experience. These findings were align with Singh-Manoux et al. s’ study that reported the significant association between big five personality traits and cognitive impairment [41]. Thus, the associations among certain cognitive failures (e.g., lapses in memory and attention) among nurses and personality traits align extensively with the findings of Kakemam, Kalhor and Könen and Karbach [12, 42]. In fact, these significant correlations can be explained using various theories. Personality traits and health outcomes, such as longevity, are hypothesized using lifespan models that determine the communication mechanisms between personality and cognition [17]. Although the primary purpose of these models is to elucidate the correlation between personality qualities and cognitive performance, it seems that they may also be extended to include instances of cognitive failures. Using regression analysis, we examined the effect of job stress factors (role and demand), and personality traits (neuroticism, conscientiousness) on predicting cognitive failures. Although retrospective studies certainly considered the linkage between cognitive failures and negative biases, some evidence proposes that this bias towards neuroticism is not the only determinant variable. An illustration of this phenomenon may be found in a research study that examined the correlation between neuroticism and the ongoing examination of cognitive failures over a span of one week [43].

Rumination can interrupt the ability of self-regulation in the people with higher neuroticism, which causes more cognitive failures. In model 2, higher conscientiousness could be observed in responsible and organized people, which results in fewer mistakes. Along with these findings, cross-sectional and meta-analysis studies showed that memory deficiencies were detected in the individuals with higher neuroticism and lower conscientiousness [8, 44]. Therefore, the findings implied that when people tend to be disciplined in their daily tasks, it can play a deterrent role in the occurrence of cognitive failures in terms of aggressive behavior. The data pointed towards the fact that demand and role were considered predictive variables of personality traits. Cox and Sharples stated that cognition-related activities are not only affected by individual mental activities but also workplace factors play a substantial role [45].

The moderating effects of personality traits between the study variables were examined and all hypotheses were ultimately rejected. Various studies confirmed the simultaneous effect of personality, stress, and cognitive characteristics, whereas limited research investigated the moderating role of personality traits (especially the Big Five factors) between organizational or work-related variables [46]. Nevertheless, it is essential to acknowledge that not every study is in accordance with these conclusions, and there exist several scientific works that provide compelling arguments against this perspective. Conscientiousness and neuroticism were shown to be the only significant predictors, accounting for distinct variation in cognitive failures in comparison to extraversion and agreeableness [46]. It can be stated that while personality traits were significantly associated with job stress, the moderating role was not confirmed, which could lead to the conclusion that other psychological variables might be considered moderators, especially among nurses. Along with this

study, Steinberg et al. highlighted the association between subjective memory complaints and personality traits in adults, noting an inverse association with conscientiousness and a direct association with neuroticism. Besides, high scores for stressors were related to high perceived stress and ineffective coping style [47]. The research conducted by Elfering et al. [48] showed that work-related stresses and conscientiousness may be regarded as predictors in a regression model. Furthermore, it is crucial to acknowledge that the absence of confirmed moderating effects may be ascribed to other variables. Firstly, the data collected might not have been robust or comprehensive enough to establish a clear association among the variables. Secondly, the personality traits considered may not have been the most relevant or influential for the variables being examined. Lastly, there could be other unknown factors or variables that were not accounted for in the study, which could have affected the results [49, 50].

The commencement of this study coincided with the onset of the pandemic, posing significant challenges in accessing nurses for participation. Despite the inherent biases that are often associated with research conducted using questionnaires, the investigators made efforts to minimize these effects by explicitly defining the goals of the study and ensuring that participants were given the time to complete the questionnaires. Nevertheless, the surveys' multi-item format could have dissuaded individuals from fully completing them. This study was performed in a healthcare organization, thus generalizing the results to other contexts should be done with caution. The majority of nurses, including those in this study, are women, resulting in a homogenous sample. The sample size and data collection using a self-reported questionnaire can be another limitation that has caused bias in the data.

Conclusion

The findings of this study showed that the incidence of cognitive failures was higher among nurses with personality traits of neuroticism and conscientiousness. Although two stressors of demand and role had a limited effect, they can be considered in reducing cognitive failures. Furthermore, the investigation did not validate the moderating effect of personality traits on the association between work-family conflict and job stress. This suggests that additional organizational, environmental, or psychological variables could potentially moderate the association. This study showed that in planning to improve occupational stress and cognitive failures in healthcare environments, not only organizational and intra-organizational factors are of great importance, but also the psychological characteristics of each person should be considered.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the nurses who participated in this study.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Funding

This study was financially supported by Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences.

Ethical Considerations

All steps of this study were approved by Committee of Medical Ethics of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences. The participants were free to opt in or out of the study at any point in time. Besides, the subjects knew the purpose, benefits, risks, and funding behind the study before they agree or decline to join.

Code of Ethics

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences with code IR.MAZUMS.REC.1399.6278.

Authors' Contributions

Conceptualization: Siavash Etemadinezhad, Hajiomid Kalteh; Data collection: Azimeh Kalteh, Aghigh Salarian; Statistical analysis: Tayebe Rahimi Pordanjani, Jamshid Yazdani Cherati; Writing - Original Draft: Siavash Etemadinezhad, Hajiomid Kalteh; Writing - Review & Editing: Hamidreza Mokarami, Aref Shahi.

References

1. Friganović A, Selič P, Ilić B. Stress and burnout syndrome and their associations with coping and job satisfaction in critical care nurses: a literature review. *Psychiatr Danub*. 2019;31(Suppl 1):21-31.
2. McVicar A. Workplace stress in nursing: a literature review. *J Adv Nurs*. 2003;44(6):633-42.
3. Bautista JR, Lauria PAS, Contreras MCS, Maranion MMG, Villanueva HH, Sumaguingsing RC, et al. Specific stressors relate to nurses' job satisfaction, perceived quality of care, and turnover intention. *Int J Nurs Pract*. 2020;26(1):e12774.
4. Huang H, Liu L, Yang S, Cui X, Zhang J, Wu H. Effects of job conditions, occupational stress, and emotional intelligence on chronic fatigue among Chinese nurses: a cross-sectional study. *Psychol Res Behav Manag*. 2019;12:351-60.
5. Melnyk BM, Kelly SA, Stephens J, Dhakal K, McGovern C, Tucker S, et al. Interventions to improve mental health, well-being, physical health, and lifestyle behaviors in physicians and nurses: a

- systematic review. *Am J Health Promot.* 2020;34(8):929-41.
6. Park YM, Kim SY. Impacts of job stress and cognitive failure on patient safety incidents among hospital nurses. *Saf Health Work.* 2013;4(4):210-5.
 7. Broadbent DE, Cooper PF, FitzGerald P, Parkes KR. The Cognitive Failures Questionnaire (CFQ) and its correlates. *Br J Clin Psychol.* 1982;21(1):1-16.
 8. Aschwanden D, Sutin AR, Luchetti M, Allemand M, Stephan Y, Terracciano A. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between personality and cognitive failures/complaints. *Soc Personal Psychol Compass.* 2020;14(11):e12565.
 9. Fernández-Castro J, Martínez-Zaragoza F, Rovira T, Edo S, Solanes-Puchol Á, Martín-del-Río B, et al. How does emotional exhaustion influence work stress? Associations between stressor appraisals, hedonic tone, and fatigue in nurses' daily tasks: A longitudinal cohort study. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2017;75:43-50.
 10. de Souza-Talarico JN, Suemoto CK, Santos IS, Griep RH, Yamaguti STF, Lotufo PA, et al. Work-related stress and cognitive performance among middle-aged adults: The Brazilian Longitudinal Study of Adult Health (ELSA-Brasil). *Stress Health.* 2020;36(1):19-30.
 11. Gutshall CL, Hampton Jr DP, Sebetan IM, Stein PC, Broxtermann TJ. The effects of occupational stress on cognitive performance in police officers. *Police Pract Res.* 2017;18(5):463-77.
 12. Kakemam E, Kalhor R, Khakdel Z, Khezri A, West S, Visentin D, et al. Occupational stress and cognitive failure of nurses and associations with self-reported adverse events: A national cross-sectional survey. *J Adv Nurs.* 2019;75(12):3609-18.
 13. Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, Heim C. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2009;10(6):434-45.
 14. Kremer I. The association between school-work-family-conflict, subjective stress, and burnout. *J Manag Psychol.* 2016;31(4):805-19.
 15. Lambert EG, Qureshi H, Frank J, Keena LD, Hogan NL. The association of work-family conflict with job stress among Indian police officers: A research note. *Police Pract Res.* 2017;18(1):37-48.
 16. Mansour S, Tremblay D-G. Work-family conflict/family-work conflict, job stress, burnout and intention to leave in the hotel industry in Quebec (Canada): moderating role of need for family friendly practices as "resource passageways". *Int J Hum Resour Manag.* 2018;29(16):2399-430.
 17. Joseph Sirgy M, Lee DJ. Work-life balance: An integrative review. *Appl Res Qual Life.* 2018;13:229-54.
 18. Carlson DS, Thompson MJ, Kacmar KM. Double crossed: The spillover and crossover effects of work demands on work outcomes through the family. *J Appl Psychol.* 2019;104(2):214-28.
 19. An J, Liu Y, Sun Y, Liu C. Impact of work-family conflict, job stress and job satisfaction on seafarer performance. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2020;17(7):2191.
 20. Smith TD, DeJoy DM, Dyal MA, Huang G. Impact of work pressure, work stress and work-family conflict on firefighter burnout. *Arch Environ Occup Health.* 2019;74(4):215-22.
 21. Simões C, Rodrigues J, Gonçalves AM, Faria S, Gomes AR. Work-family conflicts, cognitive appraisal, and burnout: Testing the mediation effect with structural equation modelling. *Br J Educ Psychol.* 2021;91(4):1349-68.
 22. Matthews G. Stress states, personality and cognitive functioning: A review of research with the Dundee Stress State Questionnaire. *Pers Individ Dif.* 2021;169:110083.
 23. Fornes-Vives J, Garcia-Banda G, Frias-Navarro D, Rosales-Viladrich G. Coping, stress, and personality in Spanish nursing students: A longitudinal study. *Nurse Educ Today.* 2016;36:318-23.
 24. Oshio A, Taku K, Hirano M, Saeed G. Resilience and Big Five personality traits: A meta-analysis. *Pers Individ Dif.* 2018;127:54-60.
 25. Sutin AR, Aschwanden D, Stephan Y, Terracciano A. Five Factor Model personality traits and subjective cognitive failures. *Pers Individ Dif.* 2020;155:109741.
 26. Hussenoeder FS, Conrad I, Roehr S, Glaesmer H, Hinz A, Enzenbach C, et al. The association between mental demands at the workplace and cognitive functioning: the role of the big five personality traits. *Aging Ment Health.* 2020;24(7):1064-70.
 27. Niiyama E, Okamura H, Kohama A, Taniguchi T, Sounohara M, Nagao M. A survey of nurses who experienced trauma in the workplace: influence of coping strategies on traumatic stress. *Stress Health.* 2009;25(1):3-9.
 28. Ervasti M, Kallio J, Määttänen I, Mäntyjärvi J, Jokela M. Influence of personality and differences in stress processing among Finnish students on interest to use a mobile stress management app: survey study. *JMIR Mental Health.* 2019;6(5):e10039.
 29. Kim SE, Kim HN, Cho J, Kwon MJ, Chang Y, Ryu S, et al. Direct and indirect effects of five factor personality and gender on depressive symptoms mediated by perceived stress. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(4):e0154140.
 30. Kobasa SC, Maddi SR, Courington S. Personality and constitution as mediators in the stress-illness association. *J Health Soc Behav.* 1981;22(4):368-78.
 31. Balducci C, Romeo L, Brondino M, Lazzarini G, Benedetti F, Toderi S, et al. The validity of the short UK Health and Safety Executive Stress Indicator Tool for the assessment of the psychosocial work environment in Italy. *Eur J Psychol Assess.* 2017;33(3).
 32. Azad Marzabadi E, Gholami Fesharaki M. Reliability and Validity Assessment for the HSE job stress questionnaire. *Int J Behav Sci.* 2011;4(4):291-7.
 33. Carlson DS, Kacmar KM, Williams LJ. Construction and Initial Validation of a Multidimensional Measure of Work-Family Conflict. *J Vocat Behav.*

- 2000;56(2):249-76.
34. Loscalzo Y, Raffagnino R, Gonnelli C, Giannini M. Work–Family Conflict Scale: Psychometric Properties of the Italian Version. *SAGE Open*. 2019;9(3):2158244019861495.
 35. Haslam D, Filus A, Morawska A, Sanders MR, Fletcher R. The Work–Family Conflict Scale (WAFCS): Development and initial validation of a self-report measure of work–family conflict for use with parents. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev*. 2015;46(3):346-57.
 36. Mozafari M, Azami G, Lotfizadeh Dehkordi M, Aazami S. Validation of multidimensional Persian version of the work-family conflict questionnaire among nurses. *Int J Occup Environ Med*. 2016;7(3):164-71.
 37. Costa PT, McCrae RR. The NEO personality inventory manual. Odessa, Florida, United States: Psychological Assessment Resources; 1985.
 38. Costa PT, McCrae RR. The revised NEO personality inventory (NEO-PI-R). In: Boyle GJ, Matthews G, Saklofske DH, (eds). *The SAGE handbook of personality theory and assessment*, Vol. 2. Personality measurement and testing. New York, United States: Sage Publications, Inc; 2008. P.179-98.
 39. Roshan Chesli R, Shaeeri M, Atrifar M, Nikkhah A, Ghaem Maghami B, Rahimirad A. Assessing psychometric properties of Neo personality inventory five factor (NEO-FFI). *Daneshvar Raftar*. 2006;13(16):27-36.
 40. Hassanzadeh Rangi NH, Allahyari T, Khosravi Y, Zaeri F, Saremi M. Development of an Occupational Cognitive Failure Questionnaire (OCFQ): Evaluation validity and reliability. *Iran Occup Health*. 2012;9(1):29-40.
 41. Singh-Manoux A, Yerramalla MS, Sabia S, Kivimäki M, Fayosse A, Dugravot A, et al. Association of big-5 personality traits with cognitive impairment and dementia: a longitudinal study. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2020;74(10):799-805.
 42. Könen T, Karbach J. Self-reported cognitive failures in everyday life: A closer look at their relation to personality and cognitive performance. *Assessment*. 2020;27(5):982-95.
 43. Lange S, Süß HM. Measuring slips and lapses when they occur–Ambulatory assessment in application to cognitive failures. *Conscious Cogn*. 2014;24:1-11.
 44. Pearman A, Storandt M. Predictors of subjective memory in older adults. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2004;59(1):P4-6.
 45. Cox G, Sharples S, Stedmon A, Wilson J. An observation tool to study air traffic control and flightdeck collaboration. *Appl Ergon*. 2007;38(4):425-35.
 46. Joshanloo M. Personality traits and psychological well-being as moderators of the association between stressors and negative affect: A daily diary study. *Curr Psychol*. 2023;42:15647-57.
 47. Steinberg SI, Negash S, Sammel MD, Bogner H, Harel BT, Livney MG, et al. Subjective memory complaints, cognitive performance, and psychological factors in healthy older adults. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen*. 2013;28(8):776-83.
 48. Elfering A, Grebner S, Dudan A. Job characteristics in nursing and cognitive failure at work. *Saf Health Work*. 2011;2(2):194-200.
 49. Memon MA, Cheah JH, Ramayah T, Ting H, Chuah F, Cham TH. Moderation analysis: issues and guidelines. *J Appl Struct Equ Model*. 2019;3(1):1-11.
 50. Helm R, Mark A. Analysis and evaluation of moderator effects in regression models: State of art, alternatives and empirical example. *Rev Manag Sci*. 2012;6:307-32.